AMENDMENT OF COLLOTTA	1. CONTRACT		ACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES		
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITAT	TON/MODIFICAT	TION OF CONTRACT				1 4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE I). NO.	5. PROJECT	`NO.(If applicable)	
0001	16-Oct-2003	37130963				
6. ISSUED BY COD	E N65540	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other	er th	an item 6)	CODE	3
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, CARDEROCK DOROTHY JONES, CODE 3352 JONESD@NSWCCD.NAVY.MIL 5001 SOUTH BROAD STREET PHILADELPHIA PA 19112-1403		See Item 6		,		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No.,	Street, County, State and Zip	o Code)	Χ	9A. AMENI N65540-03		OLICITATION NO.
			Х	9B. DATED 09-Sep-200	(SEE ITEM 1 03	1)
				_		CT/ORDER NO.
CODE	FACILITY CODE			10B. DATEI	D (SEE ITEM	[13]
11.5	THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIE	ES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICI	TA	ΓΙΟΝS		
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Iten	1 14. The hour and date specified	for receipt of Offer	X	is extended,	is not ex	ctended.
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE REC REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendme provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicite 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (I	copies of the amendment; (b) By to the solicitation and amendmen EIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THent you desire to change an offer a tition and this amendment, and is r	acknowledging receipt of this amendment or it numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOV IE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RI thready submitted, such change may be made	n each	th copy of the off DGMENT TO B LT IN elegram or letter,	E	
13 THIS ITEM	APPLIES ONLY TO MO	DIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/C	ORD	DERS		
	IES THE CONTRACT/OR	DER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM	M 14	4.	NE IN THE	
CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	10. (Specify additionty) 11	HE CHANGES SET FORTH IN TH	SIVI I	14 ARE WAD	EIN THE	
B.THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN I	ΓΕΜ 14, PURSUANT TO T	THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(ES (such as c	changes in payi	ng
C.THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTE		O AUTHORITY OF:				_
D.OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority	·)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign this do	ocument and return	cop	pies to the issu	ing office.	
DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION where feasible.) To clarify questions that were submitted from prosper to the proof of the		tion headings, including solicitation/c	ontr	act subject ma	atter	
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document	referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as	heretofore changed, remains unchanged and	l in fi	ull force and effe	ect.	
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	1	A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	5C. DATE SIGNED 1	6B. UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	CA			16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	<u> </u>	(Signature of Contracting Office	ar)			16-Oct-2003
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	l l	(Digitation Contracting Office	~ı j			

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

1. Page 6, Section C, 1.0 Introduction

One of the locations listed is Bangor, ME. Should this be Bangor, WA?

Answer: Bangor, WA

2. Page 6, Section C, 1.0 Introduction

Various anticipated locations for the performance of work under the resultant contract are listed. However, it does not appear to be a percentage distribution of "Places of Performance", e.g. 50% Contractor and 50% Government Site. To assure accurate costing, please provide the desired percentage distributions.

Answer: Approximately 65% at the Contractor Site and 35% at the Government Site.

3. Page 46, Section K, Clause 52.219-1

The RFP list the small business standard size as \$15.5M for NAICS code 541330. Should list NAICS code size standard be \$23M?

Answer: The scope of work fit under Marine Engineering and Naval Architecture which, is \$15.5M.

4. Page 55, Section L, Section 2 - CORPORATE EXPERIENCE AND PAST PERFORMANCE

Page limitation for Corporate and Experience and Past Performance is 20 pages. Page limitation for Management/QA Plan is 35 pages (page 60). Considering the larger importance of Corporate Experience and Past Performance over Management/QA Plan, and the complexity of the requirements for Corporate Experience and Past performance to 35 while reducing the page allocation for the Management/QA Plan section to 20 pages?

Answer: SECTION - 2, CORPORATE EXPERIENCE AND PAST PERFORMANCE (Maximum length: 35 pp.) and SECTION - 4, MANAGEMENT/QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN (Maximum length: 20 pp.)

5. Page 55, Section L, Section 2, Paragraph 3

The RFP requires the examples to provide the "technical manager" for each example. Is this the government technical manager?

Answer: The technical manager maybe the Federal, State and Local Government or private sector for project/contract (technical point of contact).

6. Page 55, Section L, Section 2, Paragraph 5

The nine items listed at the bottom of the page seem to apply more to the Past Performance citations then to Corporate Experience examples. Is this information required for all Corporate Experience examples, Past Performance citations, or both?

Answer: This information is required for Past Performance, Only.

7. Page 56, Section L, Section 3, Personnel Qualifications

Second sentences require "resumes shall be submitted for all key personnel". Based on the yearly man-hours defined in Section B of the RFP, this equates to 48 resumes minimum. On page 58, the RFP defines the minimum number of

resumes required as 12. Does the government desire to review resumes of all personnel assigned to key labor categories or just a sampling of resumes from each category?

Answer: The number of required resumes for key personnel is 12.

8. Page 57, Section L, Resume Format

The resume format provided in the RFP requires the individual to sign the resume. This is difficult to accomplish for all personnel at times due to travel to remote locations around the world to support fleet needs, which some proposed personnel are currently encountering. Can the requirement for the personnel signature, which has not been required for all NAVSSES contracts within the last year, be removed?

Answer: Adequate time has been given to obtain the signatures.

9. Page 57, Section, L Personnel Qualifications

The RFP requires a summary table in matrix format displaying "personnel qualifications and experience". Should this table include all personnel or just key personnel?

Answer: The RFP states "key personnel resumes".

10. Page 58, Section L, Non Key Personnel

The RFP requires "a listing of non key personnel who will be assigned to the categories of labor specified as non key". With no requirement to display qualification or experience, is this list necessary?

Answer: Yes, it is necessary.

11. Page 58, Section L, Non Key Personnel

The government defines the requirements for the Program Manager, to include a bachelor's degree in engineering. This labor category is defined as non-key. Based on the level of education requirements demanded b other key labor categories, the support staff available to the Program Manager will include many experienced engineers. As a non-key category, could an eight-year experience equivalency requirement be added to the Program Manager category, in lieu of the education requirement, to allow greater flexibility in staffing?

Answer: The requirement remains unchanged.

12. Page 59, Section L, Non Key Personnel

The government defines the requirements for the Senior Project Engineer, with an equivalency statement in lieu of a degree. Should the 10 year equivalency requirement be modified to permit either the existing statement <u>or</u> the addition of relevant experience including Maintenance Engineering, Systems Engineering, Logistics Engineering, Test & Evaluation Engineering, Installation Support, and New Acquisition Support of HM&E systems?

Answer: The requirement as spelled out in the solicitation remains unchanged.

13. Page 65, Section M Personnel

The evaluation criteria for personnel are based solely on the resumes provided. Does this mean that the 20 pages (exclusive of resumes) of the personnel section will not be scored?

Answer: No, it does not mean that.

14. The closing time and date are hereby extended to 17 November 2003 at 2:00 PM.

Another amendment will be issued soon once the technical point of contact provides the answer to the other questions.